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INTRODUCTION
The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) was a limb-viewing Fourier transform spectrometer which operated on the ESA Envisat satellite from July 2002 - April 2012. MIPAS made global measurements of infrared atmospheric emission spectra in the range 4-15μm at tangent heights from the mesosphere down to the mid-troposphere. ESA produce calibrated spectra (Level 1B products) and from these derive vertical profiles of atmospheric temperature and composition can be retrieved (Level 2 products).

Using the same ESA L1B spectra, Oxford is one of several groups which also generate their own L2 products [1]. The Oxford MORSE (Multispectral Optimised Retrievals using Sequential Estimation) algorithm uses the same microwindows (ie subsets of the L1B spectra) as the ESA processor, and also retrieves profiles on the measurement tangent point grid, but is based on an optimal estimation scheme rather than a constrained least squares fit.

THE INFRARED SPECTRUM

Above is a simulated MIPAS spectrum for a tangent height of 12km showing the spectral features associated with the atmosphere’s main infrared emitting species in the 5 MIPAS bands. It is not essential to use a spectrally resolving instrument to retrieve most of these species, although doing so does improve accuracy.

First the temperature and pressure are retrieved using CO2 emission lines (CO2 concentration is assumed known). After this different spectral regions are used to derive concentrations of other molecules in turn: H2O, O3, HNO3, CH4, N2O, NO2, CION02, CFC-11, CFC-12, N2O5. Retrievals of CO and NO are more difficult due to a combination of high mesospheric loading and the breakdown of local thermodynamic equilibrium. In common with most other groups, only small subsets (‘microwindows’) of the complete L1B spectrum are used for each retrieval – typically 5 microwindows per of up to 3cm⁻¹ width per species. This is a compromise between computation speed and accuracy.

10-YEAR TRENDS

MIPAS data extend for a decade but, given the different operating modes, some care is required if the data are to be used to establish trends on this timescale [3]. The figures below show summaries of the Oxford L2 data for temperature at 10mb, where the dataset appears self-consistent, and for the ozone column above 100mb, where there is a clear offset between the FR data before 2005 and the subsequent OR data.

OPERATING MODES

MIPAS was initially operated at full spectral resolution (0.025 cm⁻¹ sampling) with 17 measurements for a complete limb scan (FR17). After numerous interferometer anomalies this was suspended in Mar 2004 and operations resumed in Jan 2005 using only 40% of the full interferometer slide movement, hence 0.0625 cm⁻¹ sampling. Since the acquisition time for a single spectrum was also reduced by 40%, the number steps in the nominal limb scan was increased to 27 (OR27) to maintain an along-track profile spacing of approx 500 km. However, during 2005-2006 MIPAS was frequently operated in ‘UTLS’ mode consisting of limb scan sequences of 19 spectra (OR19), with correspondingly reduced profile spacing. From Nov 2007 the instrument also routinely observed 1 day in 5 in either ‘Middle Atmosphere’ or ‘Upper Atmosphere’ higher altitude scan modes.

DAY-NIGHT

The plot above shows the difference in zonal mean temperature for August 2008 between the descending (day-time) and ascending (night-time) orbits. The oscillating structure over the equator is the (real) diurnal tide. However the differences at mid-latitudes are thought to arise from neglecting horizontal temperature gradients in the retrieval forward model. Similar artefacts can be seen in the day-night differences of most species in the Oxford (and ESA) data.

CLOUD & AEROSOL

A separate part of Oxford processing is the retrieval of cloud top height, temperature and extinction by observing the continuum emission between the CO2 lines in the 900-1000cm⁻¹ region (10μm window). This also seems to be a more robust cloud detection method than the widely-used Cloud Index and will be incorporated into future L2 processing. Meanwhile, a more conventional aerosol extinction retrieval is being tested as a candidate for adding to the list of L2 products [4].
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For further information see www.atm.ox.ac.uk/group/mipas or Google ‘MIPAS’ and ‘Oxford’